UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

| United | States of America, | Case No. 25-CR-115-RS |
|----------------|---|---|
| * , I | Plaintiff, v. | STIPULATED ORDER EXCLUDING TIME UNDER THE SPEEDY TRIAL ACT |
| Migu | v. el Villa Vivar, Defendant(s). | |
| , , | Defendant(s). | |
| continuance of | utweigh the best interest of the public | and finds that the ends of justice served by the and the defendant in a speedy trial. See 18 U.S.C. § sees this continuance on the following factor(s): |
| | Failure to grant a continuance would See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(i). | be likely to result in a miscarriage of justice. |
| | defendants, the nature of the p or law, that it is unreasonable to expe | due to [check applicable reasons] the number of rosecution, or the existence of novel questions of fact ct adequate preparation for pretrial proceedings or the trial d by this section. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(ii). |
| | Failure to grant a continuance would taking into account the exercise of du | deny the defendant reasonable time to obtain counsel, the diligence. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv). |
| | Failure to grant a continuance would counsel's other scheduled case comm See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv). | unreasonably deny the defendant continuity of counsel, given attements, taking into account the exercise of due diligence. |
| <u> </u> | Failure to grant a continuance would necessary for effective preparation, t See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv). | unreasonably deny the defendant the reasonable time aking into account the exercise of due diligence. |
| - | With the consent of the defendant, and taking into account the public interest in the prompt disposition of criminal cases, the court sets the preliminary hearing to the date set forth in the first paragraph and — based on the parties' showing of good cause — finds good cause for extending the time limits for a preliminary hearing under Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure 5.1 and for extending the 30-day time period for an indictment under the Speedy Trial Act (based on the exclusions set forth above). See Fed. R. Crim. P. 5.1; 18 U.S.C. § 3161(b). | |
| IT IS | SO ORDERED. | |
| DATE | ED: | |
| | | United States Magistrate Judge |
| STIP | ULATED: Attorney for Defendant | Assistant United States Attorney |